

Massive Variability Searches: The Past, Present and Future

Bohdan Paczyński

Princeton University, Princeton NJ 08544, USA

Abstract. Many decades ago a search for variable stars was one of the main areas of astrophysical research. Such searches, conducted with CCD detectors rather than with photographic plates, became a by-product of several projects seeking gravitational microlensing events towards the Magellanic Clouds and/or the Galactic Bulge: EROS, MACHO, and OGLE. These searches demonstrated that it is possible and practical to process in near real time photometry of tens of millions of stars every night, and to discover hundreds of thousands of variable stars. A limited subset of new variable star catalogs was published, but no comprehensive database of all photometric results became public domain so far. In the last few years a much broader, but shallower searches have been undertaken, and many others are at various stages of implementation or planning. There is a need to develop a system that would allow all these data to be processed and to be posted on the Internet in real time. Full information related to variability of point sources is made of a relatively few data types, hence it may be relatively easy to handle. Yet, it may be diverse enough to be interesting to a large number of users, professional as well as amateur, making it possible to do real time virtual observing, as well as data mining.

The searches for gravitational microlensing events were undertaken almost a decade ago, and led to the first detection of ‘candidate events’ in 1993 [1], [2], [3]. The primary reason for the excitement was the hope that this may be a way to learn about dark matter, if it were composed of massive compact objects [4]. This hope was met with scepticism about technical feasibility of those projects: there had been no precedent for processing so much data in near real time in optical astronomy. Seven years later the technical scepticism is gone, but there is almost a consensus that the majority of microlensing events are caused by ordinary stars, some brown dwarfs, but the lensing objects do not contribute significantly to the dark matter [5].

The main and lasting impact of microlensing searches has been the development of hardware and software capable of handling tens of millions of photometric measurements every clear night, archiving the data, real time recognition of the very rare microlensing events [6], [7], and the distribution of alerts to all interested observers, as well as posting them on the WWW:

<http://www.astrouw.edu.pl/~ftp/ogle/ogle2/ews/ews.html>

<http://darkstar.astro.washington.edu/>

These two alert systems are not active now, as MACHO ended its project on December 31, 1999, and OGLE is shut down for a few months for a major

upgrade. OGLE alerts, known as EWS (Early Warning System) will resume in late 2001.

The microlensing searches monitored tens of millions of stars over several years, typically once every clear night, and therefore hundreds of data points per star. This amounts to $\sim 10^{10}$ photometric measurements. More than 10^5 of the stars were found to be variable. While catalogs of thousands of variables were published, and data is available on the WWW, e.g.

<http://bulge.princeton.edu/~ogle/>

<http://www.astrouw.edu.pl/~ftp/ogle/index.html>

no comprehensive archive of these results is available in public domain. OGLE collaboration is making an effort to create such an archive, motivated to a large extent by the upgrade from OGLE-II ($2k \times 2k$ CCD camera with 0.4" pixels) to OGLE-III ($8k \times 8k$ CCD camera with 0.25" pixels). It is clear that as soon as the much larger flood of higher quality OGLE-III data begins to flow, nobody will have time to look back at the OGLE-II data, which will contain a lot of unexplored science. Hence the need to make the 'old' data public domain as soon as possible. A sample of the format to be expected for the archive is provided by the DC/AC presentation of one of the Bulge fields [8]. The DC part is a FITS file containing a high S/N reference image of the field with $2k \times 8k$ pixels, obtained by co-adding 20 of the best images obtained during three observing seasons: 1997, 1998, and 1999. The AC part is a catalog of photometric measurements for $\sim 4,600$ variables for all ~ 250 difference images obtained by subtracting the reference image from individual CCD frames. The virtue of this decomposition is a reduction of the volume of data from ~ 8 GB for raw CCD images to ~ 110 MB for the DC/AC representation, a compression by a factor ~ 80 , making it practical to put the DC/AC data on line at:

<http://astro.princeton.edu/~wozniak/dia>

We plan to archive in this way all 4 seasons of OGLE-II results, which corresponds to ~ 1 TB of raw CCD images, to be converted to ~ 12 GB of the DC/AC data. Naturally, there is some information loss in this compression process, and it remains to be seen if the proposed archiving scheme turns out to be practical.

The microlensing projects and other massive variability searches left a misleading impression that it takes a team of dozens of collaborators to implement and to operate them. Indeed, there are plenty of examples: EROS, MACHO, MOA, ROTSE, etc. However, there are also counter examples, a small OGLE team, and single person DUO and ASAS. Links to the Web sites of most of these projects, and many other small, mostly robotic instruments can be found at:

<http://www.astro.princeton.edu/faculty/bp.html>

<http://alpha.uni-sw.gwdg.de/~hessman/MONET/>

As far as I can see there is nothing intrinsic to massive variability searches that requires a big team. The size is determined by the choice of the PI

and/or the circumstances under which a project develops. The structure of the undertaking, is the project ‘open’ or ‘closed’, is it focused or broad, all vary from case to case. I like an approach with a large number of small teams, open projects, with a minimum of central coordination but with full information exchange. The tasks are very diverse: instrument development (hardware and software), observations, data processing, archiving, analysis. All these can be done within a single, huge team, or different tasks may be carried out by many independent small teams and individuals. It is far too early to tell which approach: top down and autocratic, or bottom up and democratic, will be more productive and sustainable over a long time interval. I certainly prefer the latter.

It is not generally appreciated how under-explored is the sky of bright objects [9], [10]. There are more than 10 big new telescopes, operating or under construction, with aperture in the range 6.5 - 10 meters, built to explore the faintest possible objects, with cosmology being the primary scientific topic. Most of them have very small fields of view, but some have wide fields up to half a degree across. It will take over 10^5 of such ‘wide field’ images to cover the whole sky with a single filter. While cosmology is one of the most exciting areas of science, and it is natural to investigate the ever fainter objects at the ever higher angular and spectral resolution, it is a mistake to ignore the bright sky. It is commonly assumed that there is nothing of importance to be discovered at the bright end. Yet, in 1999 two out of ten most important and most spectacular astronomical discoveries were made with 10 cm instruments: an optical flash from GRB 990123 from the redshift of 1.6 [11], and the first detection of a planetary transit in front of its star [12] (the transit has also been discovered by a larger robotic telescope [13]).

Making a major discovery, like the two that I have just mentioned, is like winning a lottery. However, less spectacular, but important and guaranteed discoveries of thousands of new variable stars can also be made with a 10 cm instrument, as demonstrated by ASAS [14] and ROTSE [15]. All these were brighter than 13 mag, and 90% of them were new. A simple extrapolation indicates that there are $\sim 10^5$ bright variables waiting to be discovered using 10 cm instruments. It demonstrates how incomplete is our knowledge of the bright sky. I think this ignorance is inexcusable and embarrassing to the astronomical community.

It is important to have a complete census of all variable objects which are readily accessible to small telescopes. Complete catalogs of variable stars are useful for the studies of stellar evolution as well as galactic structure. They are needed to recognize any new type of variability, like an optical flash or a planetary transit, using small instruments alone, without the need to be alerted by the results obtained with large telescopes. Note, that the optical flash from GRB 990123 would not have been recognized by the ROTSE team if not for the small error box provided by the BeppoSAX [16]. The

star selected for the planetary transit search was preselected on the basis of spectroscopic data obtained with a full size telescope [17].

It is technologically possible to search the whole sky for bright optical flashes with fully robotic instruments. There are many reports of such flashes [18], [19], [20], but they are impossible to verify years after the event has been observed. The hardware needed for the task is readily available, as demonstrated by ASAS, LOTIS, ROTSE, TAROT, and many others groups. What is missing is software capable of real time data processing and automatic recognition of anything unusual happening in the sky, and alerting other robotic instruments to carry out the verification and a more detailed study. A similar system worked brilliantly with GRB 990123, with the alert system developed for the BATSE on Compton GRO, and followed up robotically by ROTSE [11]. Near real time alert systems were developed for supernovae and microlensing events, but they do not exist for rapid variability, flashes which may last only minutes or even seconds. No doubt it is difficult to develop the necessary software, but the most important problem is the lack of will to develop it. The problem seems to be cultural, rather than scientific or technological.

In the past few decades there were many excellent space projects designed to monitor all sky in gamma-ray and X-ray domains, and a large number of new types of events and variables were discovered, including gamma-ray bursts, X-ray bursts, X-ray pulsars, X-ray novae, etc. There were also many all sky (or nearly all sky) imaging projects in the radio, infrared and optical domains. However, the only all sky optical variability search was done serendipitously by the Hipparcos project [21], limited to stars brighter than ~ 10 mag. Obviously, the all sky search for objects which vary in the optical domain is made difficult by the presence of millions of stars which do not vary, as well as thousands of ‘boring’ or ‘ordinary’ variables. But the hardware that is required is so much less expensive than hardware for X-ray and gamma-ray instruments, that it should compensate for the annoying background and foreground of not so interesting sources. Of course, for many of us those are also interesting. The following is a brief description of the current status and the plan for expansion of the All Sky Automated Survey (ASAS), as developed by Dr. G. Pojmański of the Warsaw University Observatory. Many details may be found at:

<http://archive.princeton.edu/~asas/>
<http://www.astrouw.edu.pl/~gp/asas/asas.html>

ASAS begun in 1997, with a single instrument placed at the Las Campanas Observatory in Chile. The optics was a $f/1.8$ lens with $f = 135$ mm, and the detector was the Meade/Pictor 416 CCD camera with 512×768 pixels. The camera and the equatorial mount were under full computer control. The instrument accumulated data from 50 fields, each 2×3 degrees, for the total area 300 square degrees, i.e. about 0.7% of the sky. During 2.5 years of operation several hundred images were obtained for each field, and a total of

$\sim 140,000$ stars were recorded, down to $I \approx 13$ mag. Approximately 3,900 of these varied, most of them on a long time scale, presumably being Mira-type or semi-regular red giants. More than 90% of variables were new discoveries, demonstrating how incomplete is our knowledge of the bright sky.

In July 2000 the ASAS was upgraded with three new instruments, each equipped with an Apogee AP10 CCD camera with $2k \times 2k$ pixels. Two cameras are attached to Minolta lenses with $f = 200$ mm, $f/2.8$, with standard V-band and I-band filters, respectively. Each of these instruments images all sky accessible from Las Campanas once every two nights. A third instrument has a mirror $f/3$ aperture with the diameter of 25 cm, a field of view 2 degrees across, and an I-band filter. It images a small fraction of the sky only. At this time the observations are archived, and the fully automatic data pipeline is under development. Preliminary analysis indicates that photometric accuracy is satisfactory, but two of the cameras have unacceptably large dark current.

While ASAS will search all southern sky for variability on a ~ 1 day time scale it would be interesting to monitor the sky more frequently, say every hour, or even every minute, down to whatever magnitude is possible with the available resources [22]. The first few CONCAMS were developed by Dr. R. Nemiroff with this goal in mind. As it is often the case software is more challenging than hardware, hence only limited search for variability has been conducted so far [23], but the instruments have already found their application at several observatories as weather monitoring devices. There is every reason to expand the capability of CONCAM-like instruments, but it is clear that software development will be the most challenging part of the undertaking.

I expect, or rather I hope, that ASAS will gradually expand the frequency and the depth of its sky coverage, with gradual development of real time software, full alert system, and a long term data archive, suitable for data mining. Note, that variability implies that it may be sufficient to restrict the database to point sources, which means very simple and not diverse data types. This may be easier to handle than a much broader concept of a Virtual Observatory discussed at this meeting. However, the diversity of variability types may be rich enough to attract users. It remains to be seen if there will be a market for virtual observing, i.e. will the database be used even if virtual observers are not provided with financial incentives to access and to analyze the data.

I envision the progress of ASAS as a step by step development of real time software. First, a catalog of all stars detectable on most CCD frames will be compiled and variable stars will be cataloged and classified. Next, any new object will be recognized by its absence in the archive. It is not known how many new objects will be detected every night, and what fraction of those will be instrumental artifacts, atmospheric events, or man made events (satellites, airplanes, balloons, etc). Presumably there will be a usual learning curve in recognizing and classifying new objects. Once non-interesting cases

are identified and rejected, the potentially interesting cases will be subject to a robotic verification. Once their reality is established electronic alerts will be sent by e-mail and posted on the WWW. It is not clear at this time who will develop the intelligent software capable of real time recognition of new and/or interesting events: with many kinds of variability in light intensity or position. ASAS is an open project, and all competent and interested collaborators are most welcome. It is useful to note, that it is much easier to follow up and analyze a bright new object, than it is to do the same for a 25 mag source. Yet, we do not know at which flux levels more unexpected and more interesting events will be discovered. The domain of fast optical transients remains unexplored.

This project was supported in part by the NSF grants AST-9820314 and AST-9819787.

References

1. Aubourg, E. et al. (EROS collaboration) (1993) *Nature*, 365, 623
2. Alcock, C. et al. (MACHO collaboration) (1993) *Nature*, 365, 621
3. Udalski, A. et al. (OGLE collaboration) (1993) *AcA*, 43, 289
4. Paczyński, B. (1986) *ApJ*, 304, 1
5. Milsztain, A. et al. (EROS collaboration) (2000) <http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/astro-ph/0011375>, presented at the XIX International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics, Sudbury, Canada, June 2000
6. Udalski, A. et al. (OGLE collaboration) (1994) *AcA*, 44, 227
7. Alcock, C. et al. (MACHO collaboration) (1996), *ApJ*, 463, L67
8. Wozniak, P. R. (2000), <http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/astro-ph/0012143>
9. Paczyński, B. (1997) *Proc. 12th IAP Colloquium: 'Variable Stars and the Astrophysical Returns of Microlensing Searches'*, Paris (Ed. R. Ferlet), p. 357
10. Paczyński, B. (2000) *PASP*, 112, 1281
11. Akerlof, C. et al. (ROTSE collaboration) (1999) *Nature*, 398, 400
12. Charbonneau, D. et al. (STARE collaboration) (2000) *ApJ*, 529, L45
13. Henry, G. W. et al. (2000) *ApJ*, 529, L41
14. Pojmański, G. (ASAS) (2000) *AcA*, 50, 177
15. Akerlof, C. et al. (ROTSE collaboration) (2000) *AJ*, 119, 1901
16. Piro, L. et al. (1999) *GCN Circ. No. 199*
17. Mayor, M. & Queloz, D. (1995) *Nature*, 378, 355
18. Schaefer, B. E. (1989) *ApJ*, 337, 927
19. Haish, B., Strong, K. T. & Rodono, M. A. (1991), *ARA&A*, 29, 275
20. Schaefer, B. E., King, J. R. & Deliyannis, C. P. (2000) *ApJ*, 529, 1026
21. Perryman, M. A. C. et al. (1997) *A&A*, 323, L49
22. Nemiroff, R. J. & Rafert, J. B. (1999) *PASP*, 111, 886
23. Nemiroff, R. J. et al. (2000) *GCN Circ. No. 927*